Magnetotransport studies of superconducting Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{1-x}O₄

A. Pisoni, P. Szirmai, S. Katrych, B. Náfrádi, R. Gaál, J. Karpinski, and L. Forró

Institute of Physics, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(Received 25 January 2016; published 24 March 2016)

We report a study of the electrical transport properties of single crystals of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$, a recently discovered iron-based superconductor. Resistivity, Hall effect, and magnetoresistance are measured in a broad temperature range revealing the role of electrons as dominant charge carriers. The significant temperature dependence of the Hall coefficient and the violation of Kohler's law indicate multiband effects in this compound. The upper critical field and the magnetic anisotropy are investigated in fields up to 16 T, applied parallel and perpendicular to the crystallographic *c* axis. Hydrostatic pressure up to 2 GPa linearly increases the critical temperature and the resistivity residual ratio. A simple two-band model is used to describe the transport and magnetic properties of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$. The model can successfully explain the strongly temperature-dependent negative Hall coefficient and the high magnetic anisotropy, assuming that the mobility of electrons is higher than that of holes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.93.094519

I. INTRODUCTION

Eight years after their discovery, iron-based superconductors still represent a topic of intense interest [1]. Many different compounds have been synthetized and studied with the hope of shedding light on the mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity in this class of materials [2,3]. In this quest, our group recently reported the synthesis and the characterization of an oxypnictide structure, $Ln_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$ (Ln = Pr, Sm, or Gd), that displays $T_c = 25$ K without fluorine doping and critical temperatures up to 46 K upon appropriate rare earth substitution and F doping [4,5]. The intriguing aspect of this compound is the appearance of superconductivity even without any oxygen vacancy. The tellurium deficiency, naturally present in the crystal structure, appears to have a key role in the emergence of superconductivity in $Ln_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$.

The characterization of the normal-state properties, like the Hall effect and magnetoresistance (MR), are very important in understanding the carrier scattering processes occurring in a material. In cuprate superconductors, for example, the observation of nonlinear temperature dependent resistivity and strongly temperature dependent Hall coefficients suggested the presence of unusual scattering mechanisms, beyond the typical electron-phonon interaction [6]. The upper critical field and the magnetic field anisotropy are interesting parameters, relevant for applications that can reveal multiband effects and give information about the pair-breaking mechanism. Hydrostatic pressure is also a remarkable and versatile tool for the investigation of the phase diagram of a material. By altering the interatomic distance, pressure can affect the electronic, magnetic, and structural properties with the possibility of finding unique phenomena. Many studies have been performed on iron-based superconductors showing that pressure can greatly affect superconductivity in these compounds [3].

Here, we present a systematic study of the Hall effect, MR, upper critical field, and pressure dependent resistivity of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$ in a broad range of temperature, magnetic field, and pressure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$ were grown at high pressure and at high temperature using a cubic multianvil

system. A detailed description of the crystal growth and their characterization can be found elsewhere [4,5]. Focused ion beam (FIB) technique was employed to shape the crystals into a standard six-contact Hall bar configuration [inset Fig. 1(a)] and to deposit platinum leads on the samples' surfaces. In this way, both longitudinal (ρ_{xx}) and transversal (ρ_{xy}) resistivity were measured at the same time on the same sample. The thickness of the crystals was accurately measured by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and it was typically between 8 and 10 μ m. Measurement of MR and Hall resistance were performed sweeping the magnetic field up to 10 T, at fixed temperatures down to 30 K. In order to evaluate the upper critical fields, $\rho_{xx}(T)$ was measured down to 1.7 K in different constant magnetic fields up to 16 T, applied both parallel and perpendicular to the FeAs layers. The temperature dependence of ρ_{xx} was also measured under pressure up to 2 GPa provided by a piston-cylinder cell. Daphne oil 7373 was employed as a pressure-transmitting medium to ensure completely hydrostatic conditions during pressurization at room temperature in the pressure range investigated, as confirmed in some other papers [7,8]. According to Ref. [8], with this fluid, no drastic pressure drop has been observed upon cooling, which means that pressure remained quasihydrostatic during the whole experiment. The pressure was determined by the superconducting transition temperature of a lead pressure gauge. Resistivity measurements were performed in a standard four-point configuration using a Δ -mode technique to eliminate the thermoelectric voltages. In our analysis of the upper critical field and the effect of pressure on resistivity, we define the onset $T_{\rm c}$ at the crossing point of two extrapolated lines: one drawn through the resistivity curve in the normal state just above T_c , and the other through the steepest part of the resistivity curve in the superconducting state. The midpoint $T_{\rm c}$ is determined as the temperature at which the resistivity is 50% of its value at the onset T_c . The zero-point T_c is defined at the zero-resistivity point [i.e., when the measured $\rho_{xx}(T)$ drops below our experimental sensitivity]. The graphical representation of these three different critical temperatures is provided in Fig. 4(b). From these three temperatures, we calculate the transition width as the difference between the onset and zero-point $T_{\rm c}$.



FIG. 1. (a) Longitudinal electrical resistivity of the FIB-shaped $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$ single crystal. The inset presents the shaped sample used for ρ_{xx} , ρ_{xy} , and Hall effect measurement. The sample is highlighted in violet and the platinum contacts in yellow. The current injection and the longitudinal and transversal voltages detection are presented by a circuit diagram. The crystallographic directions are also indicated by red arrows (*ab* plane) and a green arrow (*c* axis) at the bottom left of the inset. (b) Temperature dependent Hall coefficient of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$. The inset shows the transversal resistivity measured at different constant temperatures in magnetic field up to 10 T applied perpendicular to the sample's surface. The black arrow displays the increasing temperature according to the values reported in the legend.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetotransport

Figure 1(a) presents the longitudinal resistivity as a function of temperature of a single crystal of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ shaped by FIB [see Fig. 1(a) inset]. The resistivity displays a nonlinear variation in temperature with a substantial reduction of slope as the temperature increases. Such a saturation phenomenon was previously reported and extensively studied in many transition-metal compounds [9,10], Chevrel phases [11], and A15 superconductors [12,13]. It was interpreted in terms of the Mott-Ioffe-Regel (MIR) criterion, which states that resistivity saturates when the electron mean free path becomes comparable to the interatomic distance [14,15]. In A15 compounds, resistivity is experimentally found to approach a limiting value between 100 and 300 $\mu\Omega$ cm, in good agreement with the MIR prediction [15,16]. However, in Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄, saturation occurs at much larger value $[\rho_{xx}(300 \text{ K}) = 1.3 \text{ m}\Omega\text{cm}]$. Similar high saturation values were already observed in cuprate superconductors [17,18], alkali-doped fullerenes [19], and other iron-based superconductors [20,21]. In fact, the semiclassical Boltzmann theory on which the MIR criterion is based fails when one deals with strongly correlated multiband systems where the interband transitions become important [22-24]. Gunnarsson et al. demonstrated that the use of a more appropriate theoretical model allows resistivity to saturate at much higher values than predicted by the classical MIR criterion [22]. The saturation of the resistivity of K- and Ru-doped BaFe₂As₂, LiFeAs, and SrFe₂As₂ compounds has been successfully explained in terms of a multiband scenario by Gobulov et al. [25]. They employed a two-band Eliashberg model where a strong disparity between the relaxation times and the coupling constants exists in different bands [25]. We therefore assume that this multiband model could explain as well the saturation of $\rho_{xx}(T)$ in Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄. Indeed, several studies of angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) have confirmed that iron-based superconductors have a rather complex multiband structure where five Fe 3d bands contribute to the Fermi surface [26].

The measured Hall coefficient $(R_H = \rho_{xy}/H)$ of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. 1(b). The inset in Fig. 1(b) presents the magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity (ρ_{xy}) at different temperatures. The transversal resistivity was measured as $\rho_{xy} = [\rho_{xy}(+H) - \rho_{xy}(-H)]/2$, to eliminate the effect of misaligned Hall electrodes. A linear dependence of ρ_{xy} is observed from room temperature down to 30 K in different magnetic fields up to 10 T. This allows the unambiguous determination of the Hall coefficient by $R_H = \rho_{xy}/H$. The Hall coefficient is negative in the entire temperature range, indicating that electrons are the dominant charge carriers. This result is in agreement with the data from structure refinement that confirm the presence of tellurium vacancies in the crystal structure [5]. These vacancies are responsible for electron doping in the Fe₂As₂ layers. However, the strong temperature dependence of R_H suggests that electron and holelike bands contribute to the charge transport and their compensation shapes the form of $R_H(T)$. In a single-band model $R_H = 1/qn$, where q is the carrier charge and n is the carrier density. In this case, R_H is almost T independent. By contrast, the Hall coefficient in a two-band system consisting of electron and hole bands is given by

$$R_{H} = \frac{1}{|q|} \frac{n_{h} \mu_{h}^{2} - n_{e} \mu_{e}^{2}}{(n_{h} \mu_{h} + n_{h} \mu_{h})^{2}},$$
(1)

where n_h and n_e are the hole and electron density, respectively, and μ_h and μ_e are the hole and the electron mobility, respectively. Equation (1) can successfully describe the temperature dependence of R_H as the balance between the hole and electron bands is changed by *T*-dependent mobilities if the charges respond differently to phonons or spin fluctuations [27,28].



FIG. 2. Kohler's plot evidencing the violation of Kohler's law as explained in the text. The inset shows the field dependence of MR at different temperatures. The MR is very low and decreases with increasing temperature. The black arrow displays the increasing temperature according to the values reported in the legend.

Other iron-based superconductors, like GdFeAsO_{1-x} F_x [29] and SmFeAsO_{1-x} F_x [30] for different fluorine doping, also show negative R_H with comparable absolute values and similar temperature dependence.

The MR can provide important information about the electronic scattering processes and the details of the Fermi surface. It can help to deconvolute the temperature dependence of the electron and hole mobilities. The MR was defined as $\Delta \rho = \rho_{xx}(H) - \rho_{xx}$, where $\rho_{xx}(H)$ is the longitudinal resistivity at a transversal magnetic field *H* and ρ_{xx} is the longitudinal resistivity at zero field. The inset to Fig. 2 shows the field dependence of the MR at different temperatures. The absolute value of the MR is rather low (2% at 30 K and at 10 T) and comparable to that of some fluorine-doped *Ln*FeAsO samples [27,31,32]. In the framework of semiclassical transport theory, Kohler's law [33] predicts that, for a system with a single isotropic relaxation time and a symmetric Fermi surface, the MR at different temperatures is scaled by the expression

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_{xx}} = \frac{\rho_{xx}(H) - \rho_{xx}}{\rho_{xx}} = f\left(\frac{H}{\rho_{xx}}\right),\tag{2}$$

where $f(H/\rho_{xx})$ represents an arbitrary function of the magnetic field for the particular material, irrespective of temperature. Figure 2 presents the Kohler's plot for our compound. Evidently, the different curves do not overlap to form a single universal one, meaning that Kohler's law is not obeyed. The violation of Kohler's law was already observed in other multiband superconductors like in MgB₂ [34] and NdFeAsO_{1-x}F_x [27]. The strong temperature dependent Hall coefficient and the violation of Kohler's law demonstrate that different relaxation times exist in different bands of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{1-x}O₄ in the normal state.

From the measurement of resistivity, MR, and the Hall effect is possible to determine the hole and electron mobilities

 μ_h and μ_e using a simple two-band model, with the assumption of equal electron and hole carrier density $(n = n_e = n_h)$ [35]. In this case

$$\rho_{xx} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e + \sigma_h},\tag{3}$$

$$R_H = R_e \frac{\sigma_h - \sigma_e}{\sigma_h + \sigma_e},\tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_{xx}} = R_e^2 \sigma_e \sigma_h H^2, \tag{5}$$

where $\sigma_{e,h} = nq\mu_{e,h}$ is the electron (or hole) conductivity, $R_e = 1/qn$, and q is the absolute value of the electron charge. From these equations one finds that

$$\frac{\Delta\rho}{\rho_{xx}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(R_e^2 - R_H^2 \right) \left(\frac{H}{\rho_{xx}} \right)^2. \tag{6}$$

As explained by Albenque [35], Eq. (6) could be wrongly taken as an indication that Kohler's law is obeyed in a multiband system (with $n_e = n_h$) if the term $(R_e^2 - R_H^2)$ is weakly temperature dependent. This can happen if $R_e \gg R_H$ or if R_H is nearly constant in *T*. Therefore, in such a case, the fulfilment of Kohler's rule should be considered with caution.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the charge carrier density (*n*) as estimated from Eqs. (4)–(7). The value of *n* at room temperature $(1.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ is quite low and is comparable to that reported in underdoped LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x [36]. The overall increase of *n* with temperature is in contrast to the almost temperature independent behavior observed in some optimally doped pnictide superconductors [30]. From 300 K down to 230 K, *n* is strongly reduced. In this same *T* range, ρ_{xx} displays saturation. Between 100 and 200 K, the carrier density remains almost constant, but then decreases fast below 50 K. The inset in Fig. 3 presents the calculated electron (blue points) and hole (red points) mobilities. The hole and electron mobilities have a similar *T* dependence. At high temperatures, the difference between μ_e and μ_h is small, but it increases with



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the carrier concentration evaluated from the compensated two-band model as explained in the text. The inset shows the calculated electron (blue points) and hole (red points) mobilities.



FIG. 4. Temperature and magnetic field dependence of the resistivity of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$ measured with fields applied (a) perpendicular to the Fe_2As_2 layers ($H \parallel c$) and (b) parallel to them ($H \parallel ab$), the dashed blue line represents the criteria used to evaluate the three different critical temperatures as stated in the text. The values of the magnetic field are 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 T and then from 1 to 16 T in 1 T steps, following the arrow in both panels.

decreasing temperature. At low *T*, μ_e increases much faster than μ_h , resulting in a more negative R_H . The sharp increase of both mobilities for T < 50 K is probably related to the freezing out of phonon modes which strongly scatter both electrons and holes. The nonlinear temperature dependence of n, μ_h , and μ_e accounts for that of the Hall coefficient. From the calculated mobilities, we can extract an approximate value of the electron and hole scattering rate, $(\frac{m^*}{m_0})(\frac{1}{\tau})$, where m^* is the effective mass, m_0 the electron mass, and τ the relaxation time [35]. At 300 K, we estimate $(\frac{m^*}{m_0})(\frac{1}{\tau}) \simeq 10^{14}$ s⁻¹ for both electrons and holes. The scattering rate decreases at 30 K to 1.7×10^{13} s⁻¹ and 2.7×10^{13} s⁻¹ for electrons and holes, respectively. These values appear in very good agreement with those reported in other iron-based superconductors like BaFe_{2-x}Ru_xAs₂ [35], LiFeAs [37], and SmFeAsO_{1-x}F_x [38].

B. Upper critical field

The single crystal shaped by FIB and used for the Hall effect measurement was also employed to extract the value of the upper critical field (H_{c2}) of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$. The longitudinal resistivity was measured as a function of temperature at different constant magnetic fields up to 16 T. The results for magnetic field oriented parallel to the crystallographic c axis (H||c) and perpendicular to it (H||ab) are reported in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. In zero field, the midpoint critical temperature of our crystals is $T_c = 25.8$ K. With increasing magnetic field, the width of the superconducting transition increases monotonously, and $T_{\rm c}$ is suppressed more when $H \| c$ than when $H \| ab$, in agreement with the general trend reported for layered superconductors [39,40]. For $H \parallel c$, the zero-resistance state could not be reached above 12 T down to 1.7 K. The behavior of $\rho_{xx}(T)$ for $H \parallel c$ observed in Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ appears in contrast to what we recently reported in the isostructural SmFeAsTe_{1-x}O_{4-v} F_v . In that case, a pronounced sharpening of the superconducting transition is observed upon increasing field for $H \parallel c$ [41].

Figure 5(a) presents the upper critical field of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{0.88}O_4$ as a function of temperature for $H \parallel c$ and

 $H \parallel ab$ defined at the midpoint T_c (filled dots) and at the zero-resistivity point (empty dots), as schematically presented in Fig. 4(b). At the midpoint T_c , the in-plane (H_{c2}^{ab}) upper critical field shows a linear temperature dependence up to 16 T, while the out-of-plane (H_{c2}^c) upper critical field deviates from it above 10 T, displaying a light convex curvature, as usually observed in 1111 compounds [39]. Due to the relatively low magnetic field applied, the extrapolation of the zero-temperature upper critical field $[H_{c2}(0)]$ is particularly difficult, and it can be only done in a very approximate way. To analyze our experimental results, we evoke a two-band model which accounts for interband and intraband scattering and that was successfully employed for MgB_2 and $NdFeAsO_{0.7}F_{0.3}$ [42–45]. According to this model, H_{c2} , taking into account both orbital and paramagnetic pair breaking effects, can be written in the following parametric form [46]:

$$H_{c2} = \frac{2\Phi_0 k_B T_c t x}{\hbar D_0},\tag{7}$$

$$\ln t = -\frac{1}{2} \left[U_1(x) + U_2(x) + \frac{\lambda_0}{w} \right] + \operatorname{sign}(w) \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[U_1(x) - U_2(x) - \frac{\lambda_-}{w} \right]^2 + \frac{\lambda_{12}\lambda_{21}}{w^2} \right\}^{12},$$
(8)

$$U_{1,2}(x) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\psi\left[\frac{1}{2} + \left(i + \frac{D_{1,2}}{D_0}\right)x\right] - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \lambda_{11} \pm \lambda_{22}, \tag{10}$$

$$w = \lambda_{11}\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{12}\lambda_{21}, \tag{11}$$

$$\lambda_0 = (\lambda_-^2 + 4\lambda_{12}\lambda_{21})^{1/2}, \tag{12}$$

where $t = T/T_c$, $\psi(x)$ is the digamma function, D_1 and D_2 are the diffusivity in band 1 and 2, $D_0 = \hbar/2m$, where *m* is the electron mass, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and Φ_0 is the magnetic flux quantum. The constants λ_{11} and λ_{22} represent



FIG. 5. (a) Upper critical magnetic field as a function of temperature from resistivity measurements with H||ab (black points) and H||c (red points). Filled dots correspond to values of the upper critical field (H_{c2}) estimated from the midpoint of the resistive transitions, while empty dots represent the fields evaluated at the zero-resistivity point. Blue dashed lines are the linear fits to the experimental data. The solid blue lines are fits to the two-band model, while the pink dashed lines are linear fits to the data as explained in the text. (b) Low-temperature region of the two-band model and the linear fit to the magnetic field values measured with the midpoint criterion.

the intraband pairing in bands 1 and 2, respectively, while λ_{12} and λ_{21} quantify the interband coupling. The parameter *x* runs from 0 to ∞ as *T* varies from T_c to 0. If the magnetic field is inclined by an angle θ with respect to the *ab* planes, the diffusivities $D_{1,2}$ must be replaced by their angular dependence

$$D_{1,2}(\theta) = \left[D_{1,2}^{(ab)2} \sin^2 \theta + D_{1,2}^{(ab)} D_{1,2}^{(c)} \cos^2 \theta \right]^{12},$$
(13)

where $D_{1,2}^{(ab)}$ and $D_{1,2}^{(c)}$ are the in-plane and *c* axis values in bands 1 and 2 [45]. The values of $H_{c2}(0)$ for the two crystallographic directions are estimated using the best fit of Eqs. (7)–(12) to the experimental data and extending the fits to lower temperatures. The values of λ_{ij} and $D_{1,2}$ are allowed to vary to minimize the root mean square error. However, as it was already reported in NdFeAsO_{0.7} $F_{0.3}$ [45], the resulting fits are rather weakly sensitive to the particular choice of the coupling constants λ_{ij} , but they mostly depend on the ratio D_2/D_1 . The blue solid lines in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) represent the best fits to the upper critical field data, measured with the midpoint criterion, using $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = 0.5$, $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} = 0.4$, $D_2^{(ab)}/D_1^{(ab)} = 0.15$, $D_2^{(c)}/D_1^{(c)} = 0.1$, $D_1^{(ab)} = 0.3D_0$, and $D_1^{(c)} = 3.2D_0$. The w > 0 and $\lambda_{11}\lambda_{22} > \lambda_{12}\lambda_{21}$ indicate dominant intraband coupling, while the low ratio D_2/D_1 suggests that the mobility of the charge carriers in one band is different from that in the other [45]. This appears in agreement with the results from the Hall effect measurement. As it can be seen in Fig. 5(a), the twoband model gives a very good description of the experimental results. We also tried to fit the data using a simple linear fit in temperature, as proposed by the Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) theory [47]. The linear fits are presented as dashed pink lines in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b).

From the two-band model, we obtain $\mu_0 H_{c2}^{ab}(0) = \Phi_0/(2\pi\xi_{ab}\xi_c) = 306 \text{ T}$ and $\mu_0 H_{c2}^c(0) = \Phi_0/(2\pi\xi_{ab}^2) = 36 \text{ T}$, where ξ_{ab} and ξ_c are the in-plane and the out-of-plane coherence lengths. From the previous equations, we deduce $\xi_{ab} = 30 \text{ Å}$ and $\xi_c = 3.6 \text{ Å}$. The values of $H_{c2}(0)$ estimated by the two-band model are larger than those predicted by the WHH model, $H_{c2}(0) = -0.69T_c(dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c}$, that gives $\mu_0 H_{c2}^{ab}(0) = 200 \text{ T}$ and $\mu_0 H_{c2}^{ab}(0) = 20 \text{ T}$. However, it is important to note that, as observed in other quasi-twodimensional superconductors such as organic, cuprate, and Febased superconductors, the low-field temperature dependence of H_{c2} is often a poor guide to the estimation of $H_{c2}(0)$ and its detailed behavior at low temperatures [48].

The magnetic field anisotropy ($\gamma_H = H_{c2}^{ab}/H_{c2}^c$), calculated using the midpoint criterion, is $\gamma_H \sim 8.5$, close to T_c . This value is slightly higher than that observed in many other FeAs-based superconductors [32,44,45,49,50], and it reflects the remarkable structural anisotropy of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{1-x}O₄.

C. Pressure effect

The $\rho_{xx}(T)$ of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ measured at different hydrostatic pressures (p) up to 2 GPa is presented in Fig. 6(a). At high temperature, resistivity approaches saturation for all the applied pressures, exactly as we recently described in SmFeAsTe_{1-x} $O_{4-y}F_y$ [41]. As pressure increases, the slight upturn observed in $\rho_{xx}(T)$ at ambient pressure just above $T_{\rm c}$ is strongly reduced, and it totally disappears at 2 GPa. The inset of Fig. 6(a) shows the pressure dependence of the extrapolated zero-temperature residual resistivity (ρ_{rr}^0) (black dots) and the room temperature resistivity (red dots) normalized to their value at atmospheric pressure. The blue lines in the inset of Fig. 6(a) are linear fits to the data. At 1 bar, $\rho_{xx}(300 \text{ K}) = 1.3 \text{ m}\Omega\text{cm}$ and $\rho_{xx}^0 = 0.38 \text{ m}\Omega\text{cm}$. With increasing pressure, $\rho_{xx}(300 \text{ K})$ decreases at a rate of $\sim 18\%$ GPa⁻¹, reaching a value of 0.81 m Ω cm at 2 GPa. We also observe a drastic decrease of ρ_{xx}^0 , which falls almost linearly by more than 60% under pressure ($\rho_{xx}^0 = 0.14 \,\mathrm{m\Omega cm}$ at 2GPa). This result is very surprising because such a large suppression of ρ_{xx}^0 by pressure is usually observed in materials displaying collective modes, like charge [51] or spin density waves [52], and in heavy fermion systems, where the increase of pressure is believed to reduce the scattering of charge carriers by magnetic fluctuations [53]. However, similar results were also obtained for superconducting $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$, where



FIG. 6. (a) Electrical resistivity versus temperature at different pressures up to 2 GPa shows the pressure dependence of the extrapolated zero-temperature residual resistivity (black dots) and the room temperature resistivity (red dots) normalized to their value at atmospheric pressure. The blue lines are linear fits to the data. (b) Critical temperatures evaluated with different criteria (see text) as a function of pressure. The dashed lines are linear fits to the data.

the out-of-plane residual resistivity was reduced up to 50% in the same pressure range [54]. Here, $\rho_{xx}(T)$ may contain both the in-plane (ρ_{ab}) and the out-of-plane (ρ_c) resistivity component due to extended in-plane defects which force the charge carriers for interplane transitions. We assume that the significant suppression of ρ_{xx}^0 in Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ can be primary ascribed to a considerable decrease of ρ_c^0 , likely in the oxygen-deficient $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$. In fact, the tellurium vacancies could be responsible for the strong drop of ρ_{xx}^0 : the voids caused by Te deficiency in the spacing layers of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ can be easily minimized by pressure, thus ensuring a better connectivity and charge conduction along the crystal c axis. The strong suppression of ρ_{xx}^0 and of the resistivity upturn above T_c clearly demonstrates that pressure leads to an optimization of the crystal structure of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0 88}O₄.

Another possibility is that the strong pressure variation of the resistivity is due to the multiband character of the electronic structure of our compound. Pressure can influence band structure by increasing the bandwidth, which implies changes in the carrier effective masses, band overlaps, and the electronic density of states [N(E)]. A simple two-band model, as proposed by Sales *et al.* [55] to describe the transport properties of the Ba($Fe_{1-x}Co_x$)₂As₂ superconductor, can explain the reduction of $\rho_{xx}(300 \text{ K})$ with pressure causing an increase in electron and hole band overlap [52]. The p dependence of the density of states at the Fermi level $[N(E_{\rm F})]$ can also significantly affect the residual resistivity. For example, studies on Au-Pd alloys under pressure have shown that a small decrease of the lattice constant (from 7.6 to 7.4 a.u.) reduces ρ_{xx}^0 up to 25% in Au₂₅Pd₇₅ [56]. Such a large reduction of ρ_{xx}^0 was instead not observed in Au-rich alloys [56]. This is because $E_{\rm F}$ in Pd-rich alloys is close to the band edge, and a little change in pressure provokes a strong reduction of $N(E_{\rm F})$ [56]. In Au-rich alloys, however, $E_{\rm F}$ is in a region where the density of states is almost constant, and variations of pressure cause only small changes in ρ_{xx}^0 [56]. The different rate at which $\rho_{xx}(300 \text{ K})$ and ρ_{xx}^0 decrease with pressure causes an increase of the residual resistance ratio $RRR = \rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(0 \text{ K})$ from 2.4 at 0 GPa to 6.8 at 2 GPa. The variation of T_c with pressure is presented in Fig. 6(b). The onset T_c , the midpoint T_c , and the zero-point T_c are defined as explained in the introduction [see also Fig. 4(b)]. The critical temperature increases almost linearly at a rate of 0.5 K GPa⁻¹ [dashed lines in Fig. 6(b)]. Similarly to the case of cuprate superconductors, such an increase of T_c with pressure could be interpreted as an indication of sample in an underdoped state. Very recent ab initio calculations have demonstrated that hydrostatic pressure decreases the lattice parameters of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$ [57]. Because of the conservation of the Fe-As bonding length, a compression in the *ab* plane results in an increase of the pnictogen height (h_{As}) . In this way, h_{As} of $Pr_4Fe_2As_2Te_{1-x}O_4$ can approach the optimum value of 1.38 Å that was found to be related to a maximum T_c in iron-based superconductors. [58].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We measured the Hall coefficient, MR, upper critical field, and temperature dependent resistivity of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ under different hydrostatic pressures. The Hall coefficient has a negative sign, indicating dominant electron-type charge carriers, and it is strongly temperature dependent. The value of MR is very low (2% at 30 K and at 10 T), and it decreases with increasing temperature. The violation of the Kohler's law and the temperature dependent Hall coefficient are evidence of multiband effects in the normal state of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄. A simple compensated two-band model is used to describe the resistivity, Hall effect, and MR data. The carrier density extracted by this model shows a relatively low value at room temperature that further decreases with lowering temperature. The calculated electron and hole mobilities increase in a similar way at low temperature. Electron mobility is higher than that of holes in the entire temperature range, as testified by the negative Hall coefficient. The zerotemperature upper critical fields, extracted using a two-band model, are $\mu_0 H_{c2}^{ab}(0) \simeq 306 \text{ T}$ and $\mu_0 H_{c2}^c(0) \simeq 36 \text{ T}$, parallel and perpendicular to the *ab* planes, respectively. A relative high value of magnetic anisotropy near T_c ($\gamma_H \sim 8.5$) reflects the large *c* lattice parameter of the unit cell of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{1-x}O₄. The critical temperature and the RRR of Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{0.88}O₄ increase with hydrostatic pressure, in agreement with recent theoretical calculations. All these results demonstrate that the transport and magnetic properties of the Pr₄Fe₂As₂Te_{1-x}O₄.

- Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 3296 (2008).
- [2] J. Paglione and R. L. Greene, Nat. Phys. 6, 645 (2010).
- [3] P. Aswathy, J. Anooja, P. Sarun, and U. Syamaprasad, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 23, 073001 (2010).
- [4] S. Katrych, K. Rogacki, A. Pisoni, S. Bosma, S. Weyeneth, R. Gaal, N. D. Zhigadlo, J. Karpinski, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 87, 180508(R) (2013).
- [5] S. Katrych, A. Pisoni, S. Bosma, S. Weyeneth, N. D. Zhigadlo, R. Gaal, J. Karpinski, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 89, 024518 (2014).
- [6] F. F. Balakirev, J. B. Betts, A. Migliori, S. Ono, Y. Ando, and G. S. Boebinger, Nature 424, 912 (2003).
- [7] S. Klotz, J. Chervin, P. Munsch, and G. Le Marchand, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 42, 075413 (2009).
- [8] K. Yokogawa, K. Murata, H. Yoshino, and S. Aoyama, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 46, 3636 (2007).
- [9] F. Nava, O. Bisi, and K. N. Tu, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 6143 (1986).
- [10] J. Mooij, Phys. Status Solidi 17, 521 (1973).
- [11] C. Sunandana, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 12, L165 (1979).
- [12] D. Woodard and G. Cody, Phys. Rev. 136, A166 (1964).
- [13] R. Caton and R. Viswanathan, Phys. Rev. B 25, 179 (1982).
- [14] A. Ioffe and A. Regel, Prog. Semicond. 4, 237 (1960).
- [15] N. Hussey, K. Takenaka, and H. Takagi, Philos. Mag. 84, 2847 (2004).
- [16] M. Gurvitch, Phys. Rev. B 24, 7404 (1981).
- [17] N. Wang, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Physica C 262, 231 (1996).
- [18] N. L. Wang, B. Buschinger, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7445 (1996).
- [19] O. Gunnarsson and J. Han, Nature 405, 1027 (2000).
- [20] D. Bhoi, P. Mandal, and P. Choudhury, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 21, 125021 (2008).
- [21] J. Li, J. Yuan, M. Ji, G. Zhang, J.-Y. Ge, H.-L. Feng, Y.-H. Yuan, T. Hatano, W. Hu, K. Jin, T. Schwarz, R. Kleiner, D. Koelle, K. Yamaura, H.-B. Wang, P.-H. Wu, E. Takayama-Muromachi, J. Vanacken, and V. V. Moshchalkov, Phys. Rev. B 90, 024512 (2014).
- [22] O. Gunnarsson, M. Calandra, and J. Han, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 1085 (2003).
- [23] P. B. Allen and B. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. B 23, 4815 (1981).
- [24] B. Chakraborty and P. B. Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 736 (1979).
- [25] A. A. Golubov, O. V. Dolgov, A. V. Boris, A. Charnukha, D. L. Sun, C. T. Lin, A. F. Shevchun, A. V. Korobenko, M. R. Trunin, and V. N. Zverev, JETP Lett. 94, 333 (2011).
- [26] M. P. Allan, A. W. Rost, A. P. Mackenzie, Y. Xie, J. C. Davis, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, and T.-M. Chuang, Science 336, 563 (2012).

superconductor are significantly affected by its multiple-band nature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Projects No. 140760, No. 144419, and No. 156012) and by the European Community FP7 Super-Iron Project (Grant No. 283204).

- [27] P. Cheng, H. Yang, Y. Jia, L. Fang, X. Zhu, G. Mu, and H.-H. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 134508 (2008).
- [28] G. F. Chen, Z. Li, G. Li, J. Zhou, D. Wu, J. Dong, W. Z. Hu, P. Zheng, Z. J. Chen, H. Q. Yuan, J. Singleton, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 057007 (2008).
- [29] P. Cheng, L. Fang, H. Yang, X. Zhu, G. Mu, H. Luo, Z. Wang, and H. Wen, Sci. China Ser. G: Phys., Mech. Astron. 51, 719 (2008).
- [30] R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, D. F. Fang, H. Chen, S. Y. Li, K. Liu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, R. L. Yang, L. Ding, C. He, D. L. Feng, and X. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 087001 (2008).
- [31] X. Zhu, H. Yang, L. Fang, G. Mu, and H.-H. Wen, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 21, 105001 (2008).
- [32] M. M. Altarawneh, K. Collar, C. H. Mielke, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 78, 220505(R) (2008).
- [33] J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and Phonons: The Theory of Transport Phenomena in Solids* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1960).
- [34] Q. Li, B. T. Liu, Y. F. Hu, J. Chen, H. Gao, L. Shan, H. H. Wen, A. V. Pogrebnyakov, J. M. Redwing, and X. X. Xi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 167003 (2006).
- [35] F. Rullier-Albenque, C. R. Phys. 17, 164 (2016).
- [36] Y. Kohama, Y. Kamihara, S. Riggs, F. Balakirev, T. Atake, M. Jaime, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Europhys. Lett. 84, 37005 (2008).
- [37] F. Rullier-Albenque, D. Colson, A. Forget, and H. Alloul, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 187005 (2012).
- [38] M. Tropeano, C. Fanciulli, C. Ferdeghini, D. Marrè, A. S. Siri, M. Putti, A. Martinelli, M. Ferretti, A. Palenzona, M. R. Cimberle *et al.*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **22**, 034004 (2009).
- [39] J. Karpinski, N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, Z. Bukowski, P. Moll, S. Weyeneth, H. Keller, R. Puzniak, M. Tortello, D. Daghero, R. Gonnelli, I. Maggio-Aprile, Y. Fasano, Ø. Fischer, K. Rogacki, and B. Batlogg, Physica C 469, 370 (2009).
- [40] N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, S. Weyeneth, R. Puzniak, P. J. W. Moll, Z. Bukowski, J. Karpinski, H. Keller, and B. Batlogg, Phys. Rev. B 82, 064517 (2010).
- [41] A. Pisoni, S. Katrych, P. Szirmai, B. Náfrádi, R. Gaál, J. Karpinski, and L. Forró, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 28, 115701 (2016).
- [42] A. Gurevich, Physica C 456, 160 (2007).
- [43] A. Gurevich, Rep. Prog. Phys. 74, 124501 (2011).
- [44] F. Hunte, J. Jaroszynski, A. Gurevich, D. C. Larbalestier, R. Jin, A. S. Sefat, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. K. Christen, and D. Mandrus, Nature 453, 903 (2008).
- [45] J. Jaroszynski, F. Hunte, L. Balicas, Youn-jung Jo, I. Raičević, A. Gurevich, D. C. Larbalestier, F. F. Balakirev, L. Fang, P. Cheng, Y. Jia, and H. H. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 174523 (2008).

- [46] A. Gurevich, Phys. Rev. B 67, 184515 (2003).
- [47] N. Werthamer, E. Helfand, and P. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 147, 295 (1966).
- [48] H. Yuan, J. Singleton, F. Balakirev, S. Baily, G. Chen, J. Luo, and N. Wang, Nature 457, 565 (2009).
- [49] E. Mun, N. Ni, J. M. Allred, R. J. Cava, O. Ayala, R. D. McDonald, N. Harrison, and V. S. Zapf, Phys. Rev. B 85, 100502 (2012).
- [50] M. Kano, Y. Kohama, D. Graf, F. Balakirev, A. S. Sefat, M. A. Mcguire, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, and S. W. Tozer, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 084719 (2009).
- [51] A. F. Kusmartseva, B. Sipos, H. Berger, L. Forró, and E. Tutiš, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 236401 (2009).
- [52] S. Arsenijević, R. Gaál, A. S. Sefat, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, and L. Forró, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075148 (2011).

- [53] H. Wilhelm, S. Raymond, D. Jaccard, O. Stockert, H. v Löhneysen, and A. Rosch, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, L329 (2001).
- [54] L. Forro, V. Ilakovac, J. R. Cooper, C. Ayache, and J.-Y. Henry, Phys. Rev. B 46, 6626 (1992).
- [55] B. C. Sales, M. A. McGuire, A. Sefat, and D. Mandrus, Physica C 470, 304 (2010).
- [56] J. Banhart, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7128 (1996).
- [57] F. Bucci, A. Sanna, A. Continenza, S. Katrych, J. Karpinski, E. K. U. Gross, and G. Profeta, Phys. Rev. B 93, 024518 (2016).
- [58] Y. Mizuguchi, Y. Hara, K. Deguchi, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, K. Takeda, H. Kotegawa, H. Tou, and Y. Takano, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 23, 054013 (2010).